

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2003) KLR VOL 4 PART 157 pp. 895 - 1024

APRIL 2003

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

1. Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo p. 895
2. Ejeka v. State p. 915
3. Hirnor v. Yongo p. 929
4. Societe Generale Bank Ltd. v. Adewunmi p. 949
5. Thompson v. Arowolo p. 965

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 4 KLR**

APPEALS - Briefs - Binding nature of - Parties are bound by their briefs - And issues not contained therein are of no moment (H7) Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo p. 895

APPEALS - Court - Wrong attribution of issue - The error of attributing respondent's issue to appellant - Does not amount to violation of appellant's right to fair hearing (H1) Ejeka v. State p. 915

APPEALS - Courts - Use of oral evidence - Correctness of - By s. 16 of Court of Appeal Act - The court rightly relied on oral evidence of respondent - While it rejected reliance of trial court on documents (H8) Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo p. 895

APPEALS - Evidence - Evaluation - Interference - Where there is no issue of credibility of witnesses - Then CA can interfere with discretion of trial court - In order to determine justice of the case between the parties (H1) Thompson v. Arowolo p. 965

APPEALS - Leave - Customary Court of Appeal - By 1979 Constitution s.224 - Leave to appeal against decision of the court - Is required only at instance of an interested person - As a party thereto may appeal as of right (H2) Hirnor v. Yongo p. 929

APPEALS - Right of appeal - Customary Court of Appeal - By 1979 Constitution s. 224 - Appeal does not lie from that court to Court of Appeal - On ground which does not raise a question of customary law (H1) Hirnor v. Yongo p. 929

COURT PROCESSES - Affidavit of service - Purpose - It is to convince court that the person on whom processes are to be served - Has been duly served (H3) Societe Generale Bank Ltd. v. Adewunmi p. 949

COURT PROCESSES - Service - Fundamentality of - Where service of process is required - Failure to do so goes to the root of the case - As it deprives the court the jurisdiction to hear the suit (H1) Societe Generale Bank Ltd. v. Adewunmi p. 949

COURT PROCESSES - Service - Proof - As there was no affidavit of service and production of same - Contrary to the HC rules O. 12 r. 1 & 28 - It cannot be concluded that defendant knew of proceedings of that day (H2) *Societe Generale Bank Ltd. v. Adewunmi* p. 949

COURTS - Appeal - Inadmissible evidence - Objection - Counsel is to object to such evidence - But where mistakenly admitted - Court must during judgment treat such evidence - As if it had never been admitted (H6) *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

DAMAGES - Award - Loss of vehicle usage - Where vehicle is damaged beyond usage - Court is entitled to award damages for the period it is out of use (H4) *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895

DOCUMENTS - Authentication - Proof - Where document proved to be 20 years old is produced from proper custody - Court may presume that the signature and every part of it were duly executed (H10) *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

EVIDENCE - Admission - Meaning - Admission is statement which is made by a party or his agent to civil proceedings - And which statement is adverse to his case (H1) *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895

EVIDENCE - Admission - Weight - Value of admission depends on circumstances in which it was made - And court is to give due weight to the admission and circumstances (H2) *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895

EVIDENCE - Admitted fact - Effect - Since appellant failed to deny respondent's claim for loss of use of vehicle - The claim is deemed admitted (H6) *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895

EVIDENCE - Contradiction - Effect - It is not every contradiction that results in upsetting trial court's judgment - As contradiction must be material - To warrant interference with judgment (H2) *Ejeka v. State* p. 915

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2003) 4 KLR**

JUDGMENTS - Nullity - Effect - Since judgment of 14/02/94 is a nullity - The order of trial court on 09/10/95 - For attachment and sale of defendant's property - In satisfaction of the judgment - Is equally null and void (H4) *Societe Generale Bank Ltd. v. Adewunmi* p. 949

LAND LAW - Appeal - Retrial - As respondent did not challenge the claim of appellants at trial court - The order made by CA for retrial was improper (H2) *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

LAND LAW - Possession - Determination - There is no particular mode to show - That a plaintiff had been in exclusive possession of disputed land - As each case must be considered upon its own facts (H13) *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

LAND LAW - Root of title - Defective pleadings - For failing to show how the land devolved on him - Respondent's evidence which is at variance with his pleadings - Must be rejected as it is not legal evidence (H5) *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

LAND LAW - Title - Identity of land - Proof - Although respondent has no burden of proof - Yet by reason of his claim over the land in dispute - He ought to establish identity of the land by survey plan (H3) *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

LAND LAW - Title - Identity of the land - Proof - Sufficiency of - Is whether a surveyor can by the given description - Produce a plan showing the land - Respondent failed to prove the land (H4) *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

LAND LAW - Title - Possession - By virtue of exhibit E executed between the parties - 2nd appellant acquired equitable interest in the property - Capable of being converted to legal estate (H11) *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

LAND LAW - Title - Possession - Proof - By virtue of exhibit B - 1st appellant proved her entitlement to legal estate in the property - And had only sold equitable interest to 2nd appellant (H14) *Thompson v.*

Arowolo p. 965

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - 20 years document - Regularity of - Presumption contemplated by Evidence Act s. 130 is applicable to exhibit B - Since it is more than 20 years old at date of the contract (H8) Thompson v. Arowolo p. 965

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - As respondent did not challenge the recitals in exhibit B - 1st appellant has proved her ownership in fee simple - Free from encumbrances of the portion apportioned to 2nd appellant (H9) Thompson v. Arowolo p. 965

LAND LAW - Title - Proof - Means - May be established by production of documents of title - Which must be duly authenticated - Unless they are produced from proper custody (H7) Thompson v. Arowolo p. 965

LAND LAW - Trespass - Proof - As claim of trespass to land is rooted in exclusive possession - All a plaintiff need to prove is that he has exclusive possession (H12) Thompson v. Arowolo p. 965

LEGAL PRACTITIONERS - Actions - Scope of service - Authority of counsel extends to an action and its incidental matters - Save where the authority is specifically limited by client (H3) Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo p. 895

MURDER - Conviction - Correctness of - Since evidence abound that appellant stabbed deceased to death - The conviction of murder cannot be reduced to manslaughter (H3) Ejeka v. State p. 915

PLEADINGS - Statement of claim - Purpose - Statement of claim conveys plaintiff's claim to defendant - So that he can file defence thereto - But complaint by defence arises where the claim is vague (H5) Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo p. 895

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Benue State Customary Court of Appeal Edict, 1994, s. 39 (1) *Himor v. Yongo* p. 929

Constitution of Federal Republic of Nigeria, s. 224(2) (a) *Himor v. Yongo* p. 929

Court of Appeal Act, s. 16 *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895

Court of Appeal Rules, O. 1 r. 20(4), O. 3 r. 23 *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895

Criminal Code Cap 30 Laws of Eastern Nigeria, s. 319 *Ejeka v. State* p. 915

Criminal Procedure Laws of Eastern Nigeria, s. 179(2) *Ejeka v. State* p. 915

Evidence Act 1990, s. 75 *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895

Evidence Act Cap. 112 LFN 1990, s. 130 *Thompson v. Arowolo* p. 965

High Court Civil Procedure Rules of Kaduna State, O. 12 r. 1 & 28 *Societe Generale Bank Ltd. v. Adewunmi* p. 949

High Court of Lagos State (Civil Procedure) Rules 1994, O. 17 rr. 4 & 8(1) *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895

Supreme Court Rules 1999 (as amended), O. 6 r. 8(7) *Cappa & D'Alberto Ltd v. Akintilo* p. 895